

1 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
2 DIANN SOKOLOFF
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 NICHOLAS TSUKAMAKI
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 253959
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
5 P.O. Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550
6 Telephone: (510) 879-0982
Facsimile: (510) 622-2270
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS**
10 **CONTRACTORS' STATE LICENSE BOARD**
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
12 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
12
13 **GOODWIN MILLWORKS**
14 **TONY JOSEPH GOODWIN, SOLE**
15 **OWNER**
16 **3200 Dutton Avenue, #311**
17 **Santa Rosa, CA 95407**

18 **Contractor License No. 783179**

19 Respondent.

Case No. N2017-198

A C C U S A T I O N

19 Complainant alleges:

20 **PARTIES**

21 1. Wood Robinson (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity
22 as the Enforcement Supervisor I of the Contractors' State License Board, Department of
23 Consumer Affairs.

24 2. On or about August 17, 2000, the Registrar of Contractors issued Contractor License
25 Number 783179 to Goodwin Millworks, Tony Joseph Goodwin, Sole Owner (Respondent). The
26 Contractor License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this
27 Accusation and expired on November 30, 2016.

28 ///

1 **JURISDICTION**

2 3. This Accusation is brought before the Registrar of Contractors (Registrar) for the
3 Contractors' State License Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the
4 following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless
5 otherwise indicated.

6 4. Section 7106.5 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration,
7 cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of
8 the Registrar, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the
9 Registrar of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding
10 against the license, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

11 **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

12 5. Section 7027.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part: "Any person, licensed or
13 unlicensed, who willfully and intentionally uses, with intent to defraud, a contractor's license
14 number that does not correspond to the number on a currently valid contractor's license held by
15 that person, is punishable by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or by
16 imprisonment in state prison, or in county jail for not more than one year, or by both that fine and
17 imprisonment. . . ."

18 6. Section 7090 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Registrar may suspend
19 or revoke any license or registration if the licensee or registrant is guilty of or commits any one or
20 more of the acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action.

21 7. Section 7107 of the Code states: "Abandonment without legal excuse of any
22 construction project or operation engaged in or undertaken by the licensee as a contractor
23 constitutes a cause for disciplinary action."

24 8. Section 7108 of the Code states: "Diversion of funds or property received for
25 prosecution or completion of a specific construction project or operation, or for a specified
26 purpose in the prosecution or completion of any construction project or operation, or failure
27 substantially to account for the application or use of such funds or property on the construction
28 project or operation for which such funds or property were received constitutes a cause for

1 disciplinary action.”

2 9. Section 7109, subdivision (a) of the Code states: “A willful departure in any material
3 respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction constitutes a cause
4 for disciplinary action, unless the departure was in accordance with plans and specifications
5 prepared by or under the direct supervision of an architect.”

6 10. Section 7110 of the Code provides, in pertinent part: “Willful or deliberate disregard
7 and violation of the building laws of the state, or of any political subdivision thereof, . . .
8 constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.”

9 11. Section 7110.5 of the Code states: “Upon receipt of a certified copy of the Labor
10 Commissioner’s finding of a willful or deliberate violation of the Labor Code by a licensee,
11 pursuant to Section 98.9 of the Labor Code, the registrar shall initiate disciplinary action against
12 the licensee within 180 days of notification.”

13 12. Section 7113 of the Code states: “Failure in a material respect on the part of a licensee
14 to complete any construction project or operation for the price stated in the contract for such
15 construction project or operation or in any modification of such contract constitutes a cause for
16 disciplinary action.”

17 13. Section 7116 of the Code states: “The doing of any wilful or fraudulent act by the
18 licensee as a contractor in consequence of which another is substantially injured constitutes a
19 cause for disciplinary action.”

20 14. Section 7117.5, subdivision (b) of the Code states: “Acting in the capacity of a
21 contractor under any license that has been suspended for any reason constitutes a cause for
22 disciplinary action.”

23 15. Section 7125.4, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

24 “The filing of the exemption certificate prescribed by this article that is false, or the
25 employment of a person subject to coverage under the workers’ compensation laws after the filing
26 of an exemption certificate without first filing a Certificate of Workers’ Compensation Insurance
27 or Certification of Self-Insurance in accordance with the provisions of this article, or the
28 employment of a person subject to coverage under the workers’ compensation laws without

1 maintaining coverage for that person, constitutes cause for disciplinary action.”

2 16. Section 7154, subdivision (d) of the Code states: “A home improvement contractor
3 who employs a person to sell home improvement contracts while that person is not registered by
4 the registrar as a home improvement salesperson as provided in this article, is subject to
5 disciplinary action by the registrar.”

6 17. Section 7159 of the Code provides, in pertinent part:

7 “(a)(1) This section identifies the projects for which a home improvement contract is
8 required, outlines the contract requirements, and lists the items that shall be included in the
9 contract, or may be provided as an attachment.

10 “(d) A home improvement contract and any changes to the contract shall be in writing and
11 signed by the parties to the contract prior to the commencement of work covered by the contract
12 or an applicable change order and, except as provided in paragraph (8) of subdivision (a) of
13 Section 7159.5, shall include or comply with all of the following:”

14 18. Section 7159.5 of the Code provides, in pertinent part:

15 “This section applies to all home improvement contracts, as defined in Section 7151.2,
16 between an owner or tenant and a contractor, whether a general contractor or a specialty
17 contractor, who is licensed or subject to be licensed pursuant to this chapter with regard to the
18 transaction.

19 “(a) Failure by the licensee or a person subject to be licensed under this chapter, or by his or
20 her agent or salesperson to comply with the following provisions is cause for discipline:

21 . . .

22 “(3) If a downpayment will be charged, the downpayment may not exceed one thousand
23 dollars (\$1,000) or 10 percent of the contract amount, whichever is less.”

24 . . .

25 “(5) Except for a downpayment, the contractor may neither request nor accept payment that
26 exceeds the value of the work performed or material delivered.”

27 ///

28 ///

1 23. Respondent's license was suspended from September 21, 2015, to November 15,
2 2015, and again between November 29, 2015, and March 29, 2016, during which time
3 Respondent worked on the project and listed Respondent's license number on change orders.

4 24. Respondent told R.R. that the city required a soils test for the project. R.R. then had a
5 soils test performed at the property. That soils test revealed that a foundation upgrade was
6 needed. In reality, the city did not require a soils test and a foundation upgrade was not needed.
7 Ultimately, Respondent upgraded the foundation at a cost of \$40,582.01.

8 25. Respondent and R.R. entered into several change orders related to the project, some
9 of which were not signed by both Respondent and R.R.

10 26. Respondent abandoned the project in or around March 2016 prior to completion.

11 27. Between August 2015 and June 2016, R.R. paid Respondent a total of \$138,383.30.

12 28. Respondent failed to complete the following work agreed to in the contract:

13 a. The removal of materials in the crawlspace.

14 b. The completion of the roofing for the addition, including installation of gutters and
15 downspouts.

16 c. The installation of siding.

17 d. The reinstallation of stairs and decking that Respondent removed at the front porch
18 area.

19 e. The reinstallation of fences and gates that Respondent removed.

20 f. The removal of debris from the construction site.

21 g. The completion of plumbing-related work.

22 h. The completion of electrical work.

23 29. During the construction work, Respondent damaged the walls and ceilings of the
24 existing home.

25 30. Respondent's work on the project did not meet industry standards as follows:

26 a. Respondent installed the wrong size cripple studs in the framing.

27 b. Respondent did not properly install a set of French doors.

28 c. Respondent did not properly install the plumbing.

1 d. Respondent did not properly install certain electrical fixtures.

2 31. As a result of Respondent's poor workmanship and abandonment of the project, R.R.
3 was required to incur additional expense to complete and correct the work Respondent performed.
4 R.R. ultimately suffered a financial injury of \$115,392.46 as a result of Respondent's conduct.

5 **Grosse Avenue and Peterson Lane Projects**

6 32. On or about October 28, 2015, Respondent and Brilliant Corners (B.C.), a non-profit
7 organization, signed a contract for Respondent to perform remodeling work on a home on Grosse
8 Avenue in Santa Rosa for \$292,441.22. Respondent's license number was listed on the contract
9 under the line for Respondent's signature. The contract falsely stated that Respondent had an
10 active workers' compensation insurance policy.

11 33. On or about November 20, 2015, B.C. issued Respondent two checks totaling
12 \$178,150.48 for the Grosse Avenue project. That amount was paid to Respondent as a retainer,
13 down payment, and progress payment. Respondent began work on the project on the same day,
14 and had numerous workers on the jobsite.

15 34. On or about December 22, 2015, Respondent provided B.C. with a proposal to
16 perform remodeling work on a second home on Peterson Lane in Santa Rosa for \$288,009.36.
17 The proposal listed Respondent's license number. On the same day, Respondent and B.C. signed
18 a contract for Respondent to perform the work. Respondent's license number was listed on the
19 contract under the line for Respondent's signature.

20 35. On or about December 29, 2015, B.C. issued Respondent two checks totaling
21 \$159,433.00 for the Peterson Lane project. That amount was paid to Respondent as a retainer,
22 down payment, and progress payment. Respondent began work on the project in or around late
23 December 2015, and had numerous workers on the jobsite.

24 36. For both the Grosse Avenue and Peterson Lane projects, Respondent provided B.C.
25 with a certificate of liability insurance stating that Respondent had a valid workers' compensation
26 insurance policy effective November 16, 2015, with an expiration date of January 1, 2016.
27 Respondent's workers' compensation insurance policy was canceled effective November 29,
28 2015.

1 37. Respondent's license was suspended from September 21, 2015, to November 15,
2 2015, and again between November 29, 2015, and March 29, 2016, during which time
3 Respondent worked on both the Grosse Avenue and Peterson Lane projects.

4 38. Respondent abandoned both projects in or around March 2016 before they were
5 completed. At the time of abandonment, B.C. had paid Respondent \$233,952.96 for the Grosse
6 Avenue project and \$227,254.00 for the Peterson Lane project. As a result of the abandonment,
7 B.C. was required to hire new contractors to complete and correct the work Respondent
8 performed. B.C. suffered a financial injury of \$280,053.68 on the Grosse Avenue project, and
9 \$285,890.25 on the Peterson Lane project.

10 **Gretchen Court Project**

11 39. On or about October 27, 2015, S.M., the owner of a home on Gretchen Court in
12 Rohnert Park, received a phone call from Josh Knaup (Knaup), one of Respondent's employees,
13 to discuss remodeling and siding work that S.M. needed done at the home. Later that day, Knaup
14 went to S.M.'s home to discuss the work further. At the time S.M. spoke with Knaup, Knaup was
15 not a registered home improvement salesperson.

16 40. On or about October 28, 2015, Knaup provided S.M. with a proposal to perform the
17 remodeling and siding work at S.M.'s home. The proposal amount was \$44,066.95.
18 Respondent's license number was listed on the proposal. On or about October 30, 2015, S.M.
19 wrote a check to Respondent in the amount of \$17,626.78, which Respondent deposited the same
20 day.

21 41. On or about November 3, 2015, Respondent and S.M. entered into a written contract
22 for Respondent to perform the remodeling and siding work for \$52,011.54. The contract listed
23 Respondent's license number. The contract also falsely stated that Respondent had an active
24 workers' compensation insurance policy.

25 42. Respondent began work on the project on or about November 3, 2015. Respondent
26 did not pull any permits for the project, even though permits were required for the work
27 Respondent performed. Respondent had numerous employees working on the project.

28 ///

1 43. On or about November 5, 2015, S.M. wrote Respondent a check for \$23,982.46,
2 which Respondent deposited the same day.

3 44. Respondent's license was suspended from September 21, 2015, to November 15,
4 2015, and again between November 29, 2015, and March 29, 2016, during which time
5 Respondent worked on the project.

6 45. Respondent abandoned the project in or around March or April 2016 before the work
7 was completed. At the time of abandonment, S.M. had paid Respondent \$49,609.24. As a result
8 of Respondent's abandonment of the project, S.M. sought out another contractor to complete and
9 correct the work Respondent performed. As a result of Respondent's abandonment of the project,
10 S.M. suffered a financial injury of \$9,527.23.

11 46. Respondent's work on the project did not meet industry standards as follows:

12 a. Respondent did not properly install a lower shower head assembly.

13 b. Respondent installed a shower valve in an improper location.

14 c. Respondent did not properly install a drain line.

15 d. Respondent did not complete all caulking in the bathrooms.

16 e. Respondent did not make necessary repairs to a gate post.

17 **Magnolia Avenue Project**

18 47. In or around November 2015, R.B. contacted Respondent to discuss kitchen
19 remodeling work at R.B.'s residence on Magnolia Avenue in Rohnert Park. On or about
20 November 11, 2015, Respondent emailed R.B. and his wife, D.B., a contract for the work. The
21 contract amount was \$34,183.50. The contract listed Respondent's license number. The contract
22 also falsely stated that Respondent had an active workers' compensation insurance policy.

23 48. Respondent's license was suspended from September 21, 2015, to November 15,
24 2015.

25 49. On or about November 19, 2015, D.B. wrote Respondent a check for \$13,000.00 for
26 the remodeling work. Respondent deposited the check on the following day, November 20, 2015.

27 50. Respondent never began work on the project. Nor did Respondent refund any portion
28 of the \$13,000.00 R.B. and D.B. paid Respondent.

1 **Respondent's Labor Code Violations**

2 51. In or around January 2016, the Labor Commissioner's Office performed an
3 investigation and audit of Respondent based on allegations that Respondent had not paid wages to
4 Respondent's employees. The investigation and audit determined that Respondent violated
5 various Labor Code provisions due to Respondent's failure to pay wages to its employees,
6 Respondent's contracting without a license, and Respondent's failure to maintain workers'
7 compensation insurance for Respondent's employees. As a result of these violations, the Labor
8 Commissioner's Office issued citations to Respondent, ordered Respondent to pay \$154,640.96 in
9 back-pay to its employees, and assessed civil penalties against Respondent totaling \$592,267.50.

10 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

11 **(Willful and Intentional Misuse of a Contractor's License Number)**

12 52. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7027.3
13 of the Code, in that Respondent willfully and intentionally used, with the intent to defraud, a
14 contractor's license number that did not correspond to the number on a currently valid
15 contractor's license held by Respondent. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth above in
16 paragraphs 21-50.

17 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 **(Abandonment)**

19 53. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7107 of
20 the Code, in that Respondent abandoned various construction projects without legal excuse. The
21 circumstances of this conduct are set forth above in paragraphs 21-46.

22 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Diversion of and/or Failure to Account for Funds)**

24 54. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7108 of
25 the Code, in that Respondent diverted funds received for prosecution or completion of a
26 construction project, and/or failed substantially to account for the application or use of such funds
27 on the construction project. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth above in paragraphs
28 21-38 and 47-50.

1 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Departure from Trade Standards)**

3 55. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7109,
4 subdivision (a) of the Code, in that Respondent departed in material respects from accepted trade
5 standards for good and workmanlike construction. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth
6 above in paragraphs 21-31 and 39-46.

7 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

8 **(Willful and/or Deliberate Disregard of Building Laws)**

9 56. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7110 of
10 the Code, in that Respondent willfully and/or deliberately disregarded and violated state and/or
11 local building laws. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth above in paragraphs 39-46.

12 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

13 **(Willful and/or Deliberate Violations of the Labor Code)**

14 57. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7110.5
15 of the Code, in that Respondent engaged in willful and/or deliberate violations of the Labor Code.
16 The circumstances of this conduct are set forth above in paragraph 51.

17 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 **(Failure to Complete Project for Contract Price)**

19 58. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7113 of
20 the Code, in that Respondent failed in a material respect to complete construction projects for the
21 price stated in the contracts for those projects. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth
22 above in paragraphs 21-46.

23 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

24 **(Commission of Willful and/or Fraudulent Acts)**

25 59. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7116 of
26 the Code, in that Respondent committed willful and/or fraudulent acts as a contractor that resulted
27 in substantial injury to another. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth above in
28 paragraphs 21-50.

1 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Acting as a Contractor Under a Suspended License)**

3 60. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7117.5,
4 subdivision (b) of the Code, in that Respondent acted in the capacity of a contractor under a
5 suspended license. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth above in paragraphs 21-50.

6 **TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

7 **(Failure to Provide Workers' Compensation Insurance Coverage)**

8 61. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7125.4,
9 subdivision (a) of the Code, in that Respondent (a) employed persons subject to coverage under
10 the workers' compensation laws after the filing of an exemption certificate without first filing a
11 Certificate of Workers' Compensation Insurance or Certification of Self-Insurance; and/or
12 (b) employed persons subject to coverage under the workers' compensation laws without
13 maintaining coverage for those persons. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth above in
14 paragraphs 21-46.

15 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

16 **(Employing an Unregistered Home Improvement Salesperson)**

17 62. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7154,
18 subdivision (d) of the Code, in that Respondent employed a person to sell home improvement
19 contracts while that person was not registered by the Registrar as a home improvement
20 salesperson. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth above in paragraphs 39-46.

21 **TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

22 **(Failure to Sign Change Orders)**

23 63. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7159,
24 subdivision (d) of the Code, in that change orders applicable to one of Respondent's home
25 improvement contracts were not signed by the parties to the contract prior to the commencement
26 of work covered by the change orders. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth above in
27 paragraphs 21-31.

28 ///

1 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Charging an Excessive Down Payment)**

3 64. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7159.5,
4 subdivision (a)(3) of the Code, in that Respondent charged a down payment that exceeded one
5 thousand dollars and ten percent of the contract amount. The circumstances of this conduct are
6 set forth above in paragraphs 32-38.

7 **FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

8 **(Requesting and/or Accepting Payment Exceeding the Value of Work Performed)**

9 65. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7159.5,
10 subdivision (a)(5) of the Code, in that Respondent requested and/or accepted payment that
11 exceeded the value of the work performed or material delivered. The circumstances of this
12 conduct are set forth above in paragraphs 39-50.

13 **FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

14 **(Making a Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract)**

15 66. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7090 and 7161 of
16 the Code, in that Respondent made substantial misrepresentations in the procurement of home
17 improvement contracts and/or made a false promise of character likely to influence, persuade, or
18 induce a person to enter into those contracts. The circumstances of this conduct are set forth
19 above in paragraphs 21-50.

20 **DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS**

21 67. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
22 Complainant alleges that on or about April 21, 2016, Complainant issued Citation Number
23 2-2015-2688 to Respondent for violating the following Code sections: 7125.4, subdivision (a)
24 (failing to secure workers' compensation insurance coverage for employees); and 7159,
25 subdivision (d) (failing to provide a written contract prior to the commencement of work).
26 Respondent was assessed a \$1,500.00 civil penalty. That Citation is now final and is incorporated
27 by reference as if fully set forth in this Accusation.

28 ///

1 **OTHER MATTERS**

2 68. Pursuant to sections 7097 and 7098 of the Code, if License Number 783179 issued to
3 Respondent is suspended or revoked, the Registrar may suspend or revoke, without notice, any
4 other license issued in the name of Tony Joseph Goodwin or for which Tony Joseph Goodwin
5 furnished the qualifying experience and appearance.

6 69. Pursuant to section 7121 of the Code, if discipline is imposed on License Number
7 783179 issued to Respondent, Tony Joseph Goodwin shall be prohibited from serving as an
8 officer, director, associate, partner, manager, or qualifying individual, or member of the personnel
9 of record of a licensee of any licensee during the time discipline is imposed, and any licensee
10 which employs, elects, or associates Tony Joseph Goodwin shall be subject to disciplinary action.

11 70. Pursuant to Section 7121.5 of the Code, if discipline is imposed on License Number
12 783179 issued to Respondent, Tony Joseph Goodwin shall be prohibited from serving as an
13 officer, director, associate, partner, manager, or qualifying individual of any licensee during the
14 time discipline is imposed, whether or not he had knowledge of or participated in the acts or
15 omissions constituting grounds for discipline, and any licensee which employs, elects, or
16 associates Tony Joseph Goodwin shall be subject to disciplinary action.

17 71. Tony Joseph Goodwin, while serving as Respondent's owner, had knowledge of or
18 participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Respondent.

19 72. Government Code section 11519, subdivision (d) provides that specified terms of
20 probation may include an order of restitution, and where restitution is ordered and paid pursuant
21 to the provisions of this subdivision, the amount paid shall be credited to any subsequent
22 judgment in a civil action.

23 73. Section 7095 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Registrar in making its
24 order may:

25 (a) Provide for the immediate complete suspension by the licensee of all operations as a
26 contractor during the period fixed by the decision.

27 (b) Permit the licensee to complete any or all contracts shown by competent evidence taken
28 at the hearing to be then uncompleted.

1 (c) Impose upon the licensee compliance with such specific conditions as may be just in
2 connection with its operations as a contractor disclosed at the hearing, and may further provide
3 that until such conditions are complied with, no application for restoration of the suspended or
4 revoked licensee shall be accepted by the Registrar.

5 **PRAYER**

6 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this
7 Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Registrar of Contractors issue a decision:


- 8 1. Revoking or suspending Contractor License Number 783179 issued to Goodwin
9 Millworks, Tony Joseph Goodwin, Sole Owner;
- 10 2. Prohibiting Tony Joseph Goodwin from serving as an officer, director, associate,
11 partner, or qualifying individual of any licensee during the period that discipline is imposed on
12 License Number 783179 issued to Goodwin Millworks, Tony Joseph Goodwin, Sole Owner;
- 13 3. Revoking or suspending any other license for which Tony Joseph Goodwin is
14 furnishing the qualifying experience or appearance;
- 15 4. Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by R.R., B.C., S.M.,
16 R.B., and D.B. as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered;
- 17 5. Ordering restitution of all damages suffered by R.R., B.C., S.M., R.B., and D.B. as a
18 result of Tony Joseph Goodwin's conduct as a contractor, as a condition of restoration of License
19 Number 783179 issued to Goodwin Millworks, Tony Joseph Goodwin, Sole Owner;
- 20 6. Ordering Goodwin Millworks, Tony Joseph Goodwin, Sole Owner to pay the
21 Registrar of Contractors its costs in the investigation and enforcement of this case according to
22 proof at the hearing pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
- 23 7. Ordering Goodwin Millworks, Tony Joseph Goodwin, Sole Owner to provide the
24 Registrar with a listing of all contracting projects in progress and the anticipated completion date
25 of each;
- 26 8. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED: 02/14/18



WOOD ROBINSON
Enforcement Supervisor I
Contractors' State License Board
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant TCS

FILED
FEB 14 2018
CSLB DSS

OK2017901636
90903257.doc