1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE		
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	TORY POLIN Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 299988 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7.	Telephone: (619) 738-9459 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS CONTRACTORS' STATE LICENSE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. N2018-199		
15	STAR POWER, LLC DBA STAR POWER SOLAR		
16	GEORGE WILLIAM DUNNING, Member   ACCUSATION		
1.7	5790 Miramar Road., Suite 203 San Diego, CA 92121		
18	Contractor's License No. 1012992, C46		
19			
20	Respondent.		
21			
22	<u>PARTIES</u>		
23	1. Wood Robinson (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity		
24	as the Enforcement Supervisor I of the Contractors' State License Board (Board), Department of		
25	Consumer Affairs.		
.			
26			
27			
28			
	1		

(STAR POWER, LLC, DBA STAR POWER SOLAR) ACCUSATION

2. On or about April 18, 2016, the Registrar of Contractors (Registrar) issued Contractor's License Number 1012992, C46 to Star Power, LLC, doing business as Star Power Solar (Respondent Star Power). The contractor's license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, was suspended on July 30, 2016 for lack of qualifier, expired under suspensions on April 30, 2018, and has not been renewed. George William Dunning (Respondent George Dunning) associated as a member on April 18, 2016. The suspension history is as follows:

Suspension Date:	Violation:	Status:
07/30/2016	Business and Professions Code section 7068.2	Suspension remains in
	(Lack of Qualifier)	effect.
11/10/2016	Business and Professions Code section 7125.2	Suspension remains in
	(Workers' Compensation)	effect.
11/18/2016	Business and Professions Code section	Suspension remains in
	7071.19 (Liability Insurance)	effect.
06/06/2017	Business and Professions Code section	Suspension remains in
	7071.6.5 (Employee/Worker Bond)	effect.
11/11/2017	Business and Professions Code section 7145.5	Expired under
	(Outstanding Liability #0139-3350144)	suspensions on
		04/30/2018.

# **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Registrar for the Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 118, subdivision (b) of the Code states:

The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

# 5. Section 7076.5, subdivision (h) of the Code states:

The inactive status of a license shall not bar any disciplinary action by the board against a licensee for any of the causes stated in this chapter.

6. Section 7090 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Registrar may suspend or revoke any license or registration if the licensee or registrant is guilty of or commits any one or more of the acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action.

#### 7. Section 7106.5 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, revocation, or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the registrar or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee, shall not deprive the registrar of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against the license, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

#### 8. Section 7121 of the Code states:

A person who has been denied a license for a reason other than failure to document sufficient satisfactory experience for a supplemental classification for an existing license, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate of any partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied for a reason other than failure to document sufficient satisfactory experience for a supplemental classification for an existing license, or whose license has been revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew a license while it was under suspension, and while acting as a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license was denied, suspended, or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, qualifying individual, or member of the personnel of record of a licensee, and the employment, election, or association of this type of person by a licensee in any capacity other than as a nonsupervising bona fide employee shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action.

16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | /// 22 | /// 23 | /// 24 | /// 25 | ///

26

27

28

III

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

# STATUTORY PROVISIONS

# 9. Section 7107 of the Code states:

Abandonment without legal excuse of any construction project or operation engaged in or undertaken by the licensee as a contractor constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

#### 10. Section 7108 of the Code states:

Diversion of funds or property received for prosecution or completion of a specific construction project or operation, or for a specified purpose in the prosecution or completion of any construction project or operation, or failure substantially to account for the application or use of such funds or property on the construction project or operation for which such funds or property were received constitute a cause for disciplinary action.

# 11. Section 7109, subdivision (a), of the Code states:

(a) A willful departure in any material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction constitutes a cause for disciplinary action, unless the departure was in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by or under the direct supervision of an architect.

#### 12. Section 7113 of the Code states:

Failure in a material respect on the part of the licensee to complete any construction project or operation for the price stated in the contract for such construction project or operation or in any modification of such contract constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

#### 13. Section 7116 of the Code states:

The doing of any willful or fraudulent act by the licensee as a contractor in consequence of which another is substantially injured constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

#### 14. Section 7117.5, subdivision (b), of the Code states:

Acting in the capacity of a contractor under any license that has been suspended for any reason constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

# 15. Section 7117.6 of the Code states:

Acting in the capacity of a contractor in a classification other than that currently held by the licensee constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

| //

comply with a decision unless the person has been served with the decision in the

///

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### 23. Section 7102 of the Code states:

After suspension of a license upon any of the grounds set forth in this chapter [the Contractors' State License Law], the registrar may reinstate the license upon proof of compliance by the contractor with all provisions of the decision as to reinstatement or, in the absence of a decision or any provisions of reinstatement, in the sound discretion of the registrar.

After revocation of a license upon any of the grounds set forth in this chapter, the license shall not be reinstated or reissued and a license shall not be issued to any member of the personnel of the revoked licensee found to have had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions constituting grounds for revocation, within a minimum period of one year and a maximum period of five years after the final decision of revocation and then only on proper showing that all loss caused by the act or omission for which the license was revoked has been fully satisfied and that all conditions imposed by the decision of revocation have been complied with.

The board shall promulgate regulations covering the criteria to be considered when extending the minimum one-year period. The criteria shall give due consideration to the appropriateness of the extension of time with respect to the following factors:

- (a) The gravity of the violation.
- (b) The history of previous violations.
- (c) Criminal convictions.

When any loss has been reduced to a monetary obligation or debt, however, the satisfaction of the monetary obligation or debt as a prerequisite for the issuance, reissuance, or reinstatement of a license shall not be required to the extent the monetary obligation or debt was discharged in a bankruptcy proceeding. However, any nonmonetary condition not discharged in a bankruptcy proceeding shall be complied with prior to the issuance, the reissuance, or reinstatement of the license.

#### MEG PROJECT

24. On September 13, 2016, MEG entered into a written contract with Respondent Star Power for a new solar system to be installed at MEG's home located in San Diego, California, for the contract price of \$29,433.00. The contract was sold by Respondent George Dunning. Respondent George Dunning and MEG also had a verbal agreement for landscape work to be performed at her home at a cost of \$20,000, for a total contract price of \$49,433. Respondent Star Power failed to provide MEG with a written change order for the landscape work and contracted for work that required a C27 (landscape) classification while only holding a C46 (solar) classification.

///

25. The project was to be funded by Ygrene, an energy efficiency finance company. Respondent George Dunning instructed MEG to speak directly to Ygrene and give Ygrene the exact amount MEG wanted to finance (\$49,433), and directed MEG not to inform Ygrene that \$20,000 of the \$49,433 was for the landscape project. Respondent George Dunning and MEG had a verbal agreement that once Ygrene funded the \$49,433 to Respondent Star Power, that Respondent George Dunning would then transfer \$20,000 to MEG for the landscape project. Respondent George Dunning initially submitted the contract to Ygrene on behalf of Respondent Star Power, however, Ygrene rejected it because Respondent Star Power was no longer authorized to submit business to Ygrene. Respondent George Dunning then altered the MEG contract without MEG's knowledge to change the name of the contractor to JKS and re-submitted the contract to Ygrene for approval.

26. Work began on September 28, 2016. JKS performed the installation portion of the solar system, which was completed on or about October 5, 2016. Respondent Dunning instructed MEG to notify Ygrene that the work was completed, in order to receive the funding, and MEG electronically signed documents on October 25, 2016, certifying all work was completed. On October 28, 2016, Ygrene funded \$49,433 to the bank account of JKS. On the same date, JK sent a money transfer in the amount of \$20,761.65 to the account of Respondent George Dunning. Respondent George Dunning failed to give \$20,000 of the amount received from JK to MEG per Respondent George Dunning and MEG's verbal agreement.

27. The solar installation was the only work performed at the MEG home. The landscape work was not performed, and no materials for the landscaping job were delivered. Respondent George Dunning failed to refund \$20,000 to MEG. When interviewed by a Board enforcement representative, Respondent George Dunning admitted that the money received from JK was diverted to pay costs associated with several non-related projects that Respondent George Dunning and JK had worked on together.

27 ||

///

///

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The landscape project did not qualify under Ygrene's energy efficient program.

# **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Registrar of Contractors issue a decision:

- Ordering the revocation or suspension of Contractors License Number 1012992, C46 issued to Respondent Star Power, LLC, doing business as Star Power Solar, pursuant to section 7090;
- 2. Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by MEG as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered for Respondent Star, pursuant to Government Code section 11519, subdivision (d);
- Ordering Respondent Star Power to pay the Registrar costs for the investigation and enforcement of the case according to proof at the hearing, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
- Ordering Respondent Star Power to provide the Registrar with a listing of all contracting projects in progress and the anticipated completion date of each, pursuant to Code section 7095;
- 5. Ordering that Respondent George Dunning be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, qualifying individual, or member of the personnel of record of a licensee pursuant to Code section 7121; and
  - 6. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED

FILED

JUL 2 5 2019

SD2019700472 71866851.docx

24

25

26

27

28

CSLB DSS

WOOD ROBINSON

Enforcement Supervisor I

Contractors' State License Board

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California
Complainant